SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.'S RESPONSE TO COURT'S ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

#:669

Filed 01/31/25 Page 1 of 2 Page ID

Case 8:24-cv-01454-FLA-ADS Document 36

Defendant Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("SEA") hereby responds to the 1 2 Court's Order to Show Cause Why the Action Should Not Be Transferred to a District 3 Court in New York or New Jersey ("OSC"). See Dkt. No. 32. SEA takes no position as to whether this matter should be transferred if Plaintiff 4 fails to timely respond to the OSC. As the Court noted, "Plaintiff d[id] not plead any 5 6 specific facts in the Complaint to establish that this is a more appropriate forum than a district court in New York or New Jersey." It is Plaintiff's burden, of course, to 7 8 establish that venue is properly in California. Synoptek, LLC v. Synaptek Corp., 326 F. Supp. 3d 976, 986 (C.D. Cal. 2017) ("Once venue is challenged, the plaintiff bears 9 the burden of showing that venue is proper.") (citing *Piedmont Label Co. v. Sun* 10 Garden Packing Co., 598 F.2d 491, 496 (9th Cir. 1979)). 11 12 13

SEA does not know why Plaintiff chose to file this action in the Central District of California, when, admittedly, SEA is a New York corporation with its principal place of business in New Jersey, and where Plaintiff's claims arise out of transactions discharged at various port facilities across the United States.

16

17

14

15

Dated: January 31, 2025 HOL

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

/s/ Stacey H. Wang Stacey H. Wang

Attorneys for Defendant Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 11-6.1

The undersigned, counsel of record for Samsung Electronics America, Inc., certifies that this brief contains 201 words, which complies with the word limit of L.R. 11-6.1.

DATED: January 31, 2025

By: /s/ Stacey H. Wang Stacey H. Wang